UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

United States of America	
v.)
BRICIO LOPEZ-MORALES,) Case No. 4:11CR3105
Defendant	
DETENTION ORD	ER PENDING TRIAL
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
Part I—Fin	dings of Fact
$\hfill\Box$ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
of \square a federal offense \square a state or lo	ocal offense that would have been a federal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed - that is	
☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § for which the prison term is 10 years or more	3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) e.
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in
	·*
a felony committed after the defendant had be described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C),	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses or comparable state or local offenses:
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence bu	involves:
□ a minor victim	
☐ the possession or use of a firearm or des	tructive device or any other dangerous weapon
□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22	250
☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed federal, state release or local offense.	red while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
☐ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since	the \Box date of conviction \Box the defendant's release
from prison for the offense described in finding (1).
	presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety nd that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
Alternative	Findings (A)
\Box (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defen	dant has committed an offense
☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten yea	rs or more is prescribed in
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
\Box (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption entire the defendant's appearance and the safety of the defendant is appearance.	stablished by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure community.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

	A	Alternative Findings (B)
X (1)	There is a serious risk that the defend	ant will not appear.
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defend	ant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II— Sta	tement of the Reasons for Detention
I	I find that the testimony and information	submitted at the detention hearing establishes by X clear and
convinci	ng evidence	ne evidence that
the defer	ndant poses a risk of flight, and imposin	g conditions of release will not sufficiently ameliorate that risk.
	Part III—	-Directions Regarding Detention
in a correpending order of	The defendant is committed to the custoe ections facility separate, to the extent prappeal. The defendant must be afforded	dy of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement acticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody d a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. Of ttorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility
Date:	September 22, 2011	s/Cheryl R. Zwart

United States Magistrate Judge